

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/809,655	Applicant(s) HAO ET AL.	
	Examiner Agnes B. Rooke	Art Unit 1653	

All Participants:

(1) Agnes B. Rooke.

(2) R. Sparks.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 8 May 2006
Time: 11:30 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

no

Claims discussed:

10, 11, 15-18, 30-32

Prior art documents discussed:

no

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner suggested amendments to the claims that would place the application in condition for allowance. See details in the Examiner's Amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)